THE GLOBE AND MAIL

Why mortgage funds aren’t real estate – and why that matters

KEVIN FOLEY
Special to The Globe and Mail
JANUARY 12, 2026

For many families, real estate is already their largest asset. That often leads investors to avoid real estate entirely in their portfolios – sometimes for the wrong reasons.

What’s frequently overlooked is that owning property and lending against it are fundamentally different investments. Understanding that distinction matters, particularly for investors seeking income, diversification and downside protection.

Large pension plans, foundations and institutional portfolios have long recognized this difference – not as a tactical market view, but as a structural portfolio decision. Most allocate to both real estate equity and mortgage lending, using each for different roles within a diversified portfolio. Both are readily available to individual investors too.

They are not the same thing.

A traditional real-estate fund is an equity investment. Returns depend on rents, operating performance, leverage and future property values. That structure offers upside, but it also exposes investors to vacancies, rising costs, capital expenditures, refinancing risk and the full swing of real-estate cycles.

A mortgage fund operates differently. It isn't betting on buildings – it's lending against them. Mortgage investors provide secured loans backed by real property that can be sold if a borrower fails to repay. Returns come from those contractual interest payments rather than property appreciation.

When combined with conservative loan-to-value ratios and disciplined underwriting, this produces a distinctly different risk and return profile. For investors focused on steadier income and capital preservation, the distinction can be meaningful.

Six key ways mortgage funds differ from real-estate funds

1. They lend against property – they don't own it

Mortgage investments are secured by real assets; they don't own them. Mortgage payments are contractual, priority obligations that sit higher in the capital structure, and mortgage fund returns depend on borrower repayment and, if necessary, collateral recovery – not rising property values.

2. Materially lower sensitivity to changes in interest rates

Real estate equity funds are exposed to changes in discount rates and capitalization rates – the rates investors use to price real estate assets – and these rates rise and fall with prevailing interest rates, among other factors. When interest rates move higher, higher discount rates and cap rates reduce the prices investors are willing to pay for properties, which can pressure real estate equity values even if the buildings and tenants remain unchanged.

Mortgage funds, by contrast, often hold shorter-term or floating-rate loans, reducing or eliminating traditional fixed-rate duration exposure.

3. Returns are driven by scheduled cash flows, not appreciation

Interest payments, not market swings, are the primary source of return, producing steadier, more predictable cash flow across cycles. Mortgage payments typically continue uninterrupted when real estate values fall.

4. Risk can be deliberately constrained

Conservative loan-to-value ratios, first-position security, shorter terms and disciplined underwriting allow mortgage investing risk to be narrowed in ways equity ownership cannot.

5. Built-in diversification

Mortgage pools typically spread exposure across borrowers, property types, regions, and maturities, reducing reliance on any single outcome.

6. Downside dynamics are different

When equity values fall, real estate investors absorb losses directly. In a conservatively managed mortgage fund, collateral and structural protections usually cushion or contain potential losses.

Who may be suited to mortgage funds – and what to look for

Mortgage funds are not a substitute for all real estate exposure, nor are they risk-free. They are subject to both credit and refinancing risk. But for families, foundations and investors seeking income stability, diversification and higher absolute and risk-adjusted returns within a fixed-income allocation, they can be a compelling complement to traditional bonds or real estate equity.

Investors should examine portfolio composition, including mortgage insurance or guarantees, manager discipline with maximum loan-to-value, transparency, distribution history, redemption and gating policies that can limit withdrawals, loan-loss experience, valuation methods and stress-testing and capacity for on-style growth.

Do that work, and three things tend to happen: You get a clearer understanding of why mortgage lending is not real estate investing, a portfolio better aligned with income and preservation goals and improved portfolio risk and return expectations.

Kevin Foley is managing director, institutional accounts, at Canadian asset manager YTM Capital. He was previously a fixed-income executive at a major Canadian bank and serves on several Canadian foundation boards and investment committees. *Kevin.Foley@YTMCapital.com*